# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

# BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

# IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/681,930	06/27/2001	Kuldipsingh Pabla	83000.1126B	8321	
32291 7	7590 08/04/2004		EXAMINER		
MARTINE & PENILLA, LLP			TANG, KENNETH		
710 LAKEWAY DRIVE SUITE 170			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUNNYVALE, CA 94085			2127		
			DATE MAIL ED: 09/04/200.	DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

į.		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/681,930	PABLA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Kenneth Tang	2127			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 October 2003</u> .					
2a) <u></u>	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen		»□a	·/DTO 443)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/6/03</u> .	5)  Notice of Informal F 6)  Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2127

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claim 1-18 are presented for examination.

#### Specification

2. Applicant is required to update the current status information of any Related Applications in the introductory paragraph of the specification.

### Claim Objections

3. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 3, a semicolon (';') is missing. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "a palm device" is indefinite because it is unclear whether this refers to a personal digital assistant (PDA) or "a palm device" like a Palm Pilot<sup>TM</sup>.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/681,930

Art Unit: 2127

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 5. Claims 1- 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkinson et al. (hereinafter Atkinson) (US 2002/0012329 A1) in view of French-St. George et al. (hereinafter French-St. George) (US 6,012,030).
- 6. As to claim 18, Atkinson teaches a multi-tier system for providing vendor-neutral communication to mobile devices (page 3, [0023]) comprising:

a client device having a virtual machine capable of processing device-independent applications (page 2, [0020]),

a plurality of servers providing a plurality of services to said client device in the form of said device-independent applications (page 5, [0050]);

a gateway for preprocessing communications between said client device and said plurality of sewers thereby reducing processing requirements on said client device (page 1, [0012] through page 2, [0013]);

a plurality of peer-to-peer communication layers between said plurality of servers and said client device through said gateway, said gateway providing protocol translation between said plurality of servers and said client device (page 6, [0051], page 2, [0021]);

a manager object in said client device for managing said device-independent applications (page 3, [0024], page 5, [0050], claim 9)

Art Unit: 2127

- 7. Atkinson fails to explicitly teach each of said device-independent applications having a plurality of states, wherein said plurality of states comprises an initialization state, a background state, a foreground state, a destroy state, and a paused state.
- 8. However, French-St. George teaches using a hand held portable device that provides switching between a foreground and background state of an interface (col. 5, lines 8-20). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the feature of using a hand held portable device that provides switching between a foreground and background state of an interface in order to gain the advantage of conserving processing power by placing inactive applications in the foreground until it is required, where switching to the background will then occur (col. 5, lines 12-20).
- 9. In addition, Atkinson and French-St. George fails to explicitly teach an initialization (start) state, a destroy (end) state, and a paused state. However, "Official Notice" is taken that both the concept and advantages of providing that an initialization (start) state, a destroy (end) state, and a paused state is well known and expected in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include an initialization (start) state, a destroy (end) state, and a paused state to the existing system and method of Atkinson and French-St. George because these are simply the controls that allow for the switching between the background and foreground. Without such controls, the switching would not be able to occur.

Art Unit: 2127

10. As to claim 17, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 18 above. In addition, Atkinson teaches a framework for the mobile devices (page 5, [0050], page 12, [0102], page 13, [0104]).

As to claim 1, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejection of claim 18 above. In addition, Atkinson teaches a framework for the mobile devices (page 5, [0050], page 12, [0102], page 13, [0104]).

- 11. As to claim 2, Atkinson teaches wherein said plurality of peer-to-peer layers comprises: at least one physical data link layer, a network layer, a transport layer, a session layer, a presentation layer; and an applications layer (page 1, [0012], pages 1-2, [0013], page 2, [0020] and [0022], page 3, [0025] and [0027], page 4, [0034]).
- 12. As to claim 3, Atkinson teaches wherein said at least one physical data link layer comprises landline communication between said third tier and said second tier, and wireless communication between said second tier and said first tier (page 5, [0050], page 6, [0051], page 2, [0021]).
- 13. As to claim 4, Atkinson teaches wherein said network layer uses Internet Protocol communication between said third tier and said second tier, and wireless applications protocol between said second tier and said first tier (page 5, [0050], page 6, [0051], page 2, [0021], page 3, [0025]).

Art Unit: 2127

14. As to claim 5, Atkinson teaches wherein said transport layer uses transport control protocol between said third tier and said second tier, and wireless applications protocol between said second tier and said first tier (page 5, [0050], page 6, [0051], page 2, [0021], page 3, [0025]).

- 15. As to claim 6, Atkinson teaches wherein said session layer uses hypertext transport protocol between said third tier and said second tier and amongst services in said third tier, and wireless applications protocol between said second tier and said first tier (page 5, [0050], page 6, [0051], page 2, [0021], page 3, [0025]).
- 16. As to claim 7, Atkinson teaches wherein said presentation layer uses a markup language between said third tier and said second tier, and a wireless markup language between said second tier and said first tier (page 3, [0025]).
- 17. As to claim 8, Atkinson teaches wherein said application layer prepares graphical data for presentation, said graphical data being available in any suitable graphical format and communicated from said third tier to said second tier, said second tier converting said graphical data to a wireless graphics format for transmission to said first tier (pages 12-13, [0102]).
- 18. As to claim 9, Atkinson teaches wherein said first tier is a wireless device (page 1-2, [0013]).

Art Unit: 2127

- 19. As to claim 10, Atkinson teaches wherein said wireless device is a cellular phone (page 1, [0003]).
- 20. As to claim 11, Atkinson teaches wherein said wireless device is a palm device (page 1, [0003]).
- 21. As to claim 12, Atkinson teaches wherein said wireless device includes a software architecture comprising a real-time operating system layer, a virtual machine layer having at least one system class, an application layer (page 2, [0016] and [0020], page 3, [0027]).
- 22. As to claim 13, Atkinson teaches wherein said real-time operating system layer comprises a wireless small device operating system, a plurality of linking and networking application programming interfaces, and an object for updating and installing software in said wireless device (page 1, [0004], page 3, [0023], page 5, [0050], page 6, [0051], page 2, [0021], page 3, [0025]).
- 23. As to claim 14, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claims 1 and 18.
- 24. As to claim 15, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claim 18.

Art Unit: 2127

As to claim 16, it is rejected for the same reasons as stated in the rejections of claim 18. 25.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth Tang whose telephone number is (703) 305-5334. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 6:00PM, Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kt 7/25/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100